

Special Executive/Legislation Committee
Thursday August 28, 2024
Joseph G. Cannon Building, 2nd Floor, 5:00 PM

MINUTES

Agenda Item 1 - Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Larry Baughn, Jr. called the meeting to order at 5:00 PM. Upon the call of roll, the following members were present: Larry Baughn, Jr., Craig Golden, Steve Miller, Joe Eakle, Jerry Hawker, and, Mark Steinbaugh. Nancy O’Kane was excused. 6 Present 1 Absent. Also attending the meeting were: Kevin Bodine- CB Member, Lon Henderson – CB Member, Jacqueline Lacy and Amanda Mank-State’s Attorney’s office, Steve Brandy- WDAN, and Jennifer Jenkins - County Board Office.

Agenda Item 2 - Adoption/Amendment to the Agenda

Baughn advised that agenda item # 3 should read as August 22, 2024, not May. Motion by Hawker, seconded by Eakle to approve the agenda. Motion passed by acclamation.

Agenda Item 3 - Approval of Minutes from August 22, 2024

Steinbaugh moved, seconded by Golden, to approve the August 22, 2024 minutes. Motion passed by acclamation.

Agenda Item 4 - Audience Comments

None

Agenda Item 5 – Intergovernmental Agreement for Demolition of Structures

Baughn advised that the State’s Attorney’s office was present to answer questions that were discussed during the last meeting. Ms. Lacy advised that her office, (Amanda Mank and Kevin Schneider -Assistant State’s Attorney’s) worked with the City of Danville legal counsel to draft the IGA. Miller advised that when he spoke with Ms. Mank earlier in the day that she explained to him paragraph 12- Conveyance of Property, that he had questioned during the last Executive/Legislation Committee meeting. Miller further explained that this paragraph basically states that we are going to get the bids for demolition and see what they are. After the bids are opened there will be further negotiation about the County conveying the Annex to the City of Danville before the commencement of any contract or work. So a decision will need to be made if we are going to contribute money to the process and let the City manage the demolition of both structures. Or another alternative would be that we are not transferring our ownership of the Annex at that time. This would mean that we would go through the demolition process and we would be entering into a contract with the proposed contractor. Mank stated that the City of Danville would formally be the one administering the contract paperwork and overseeing, with input from the County, in regards to the Annex. Miller reiterated that the County would still be responsible for meeting the expense for the contract for the portions that we are agreeing to. This is if we don’t choose the previous option. Mank stated that the difference here is with subparagraph A that is an early out for the County. This would remove the County from further contact/decisions of the Annex demolition. Mank also advised that you could look at subparagraph A as sort of an option for the County. Miller also added that this would also need to be a mutual agreement between the City of Danville and Vermilion County.

Miller thanked Mank for providing this option for the County. Miller continued on to discuss if we do not take the early out option then why we would want to be involved in the payout of early completion of the demolition. This refers to paragraph 9- Early Completion Incentive. Mank advised that this is something that can be amended. She has spoken to the City of Danville Counsel and they are agreeable to that. It was stated that it would have to be amended by the City of Danville at a later meeting as they have already approved this document as is. Steinbaugh stated that because it is written as 550 days that it should also stated that if the project goes over then there should be a penalty as well. Lacy stated that if this project has an early completion date it is for the good of the taxpayers because right now there is a safety issue. She also added that there have been multiple times, that she is aware, of when the County Deputy’s had to go to the Annex because of an attempted break in or vandalism. Mank addressed the question of penalties and advised that you would more so see this verbiage in a contract that utilizes federal funding. Mank advised that an idea of a timeline would possibly go as such; once this agreement has been approved through the County Board during the September 10th meeting, then advertisement for bids would begin on September 17th, mandatory pre-bid meeting on October 2nd, bid opening on October 23rd, notice of award by the City of Danville on November 5th. Baughn advised that there were a few items that the County would possibly be removing from the Annex prior to demolition. Miller moved to approve the IGA with the following amendment; removal of our participation in the payment for early completion- paragraph 9, second by Hawker. Upon the roll of call the following voted yes to wit; Baughn, Golden, Miller, Eakle, Hawker, and Steinbaugh 6- yes and 1-absent.

Agenda Item 6 – Executive Session-

No action needed

Agenda Item 7 - Items of Information

No items at this time.

Agenda Item 8- Adjournment

Chairman Baughn adjourned the meeting at 5:22 P.M.

Minutes by: Jennifer Jenkins, County Board Office Manager